

**CITY OF PARK RAPIDS
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
OCTOBER 23, 2012, 7:00 PM
Park Rapids Public Library-Lower Level
Park Rapids, Minnesota**

1. CALL TO ORDER: The October 23rd, 2012 Regular Meeting of the Park Rapids City Council was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Mayor Nancy Carroll, and everyone present recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. ROLL CALL: Present: Mayor Nancy Carroll, Councilmembers Dave Konshok, Patrick Mikesh, Sue Tomte, and Paul Utke. Absent: None. Staff Present: Administrator John McKinney, Treasurer Angela Brumbaugh, Planner Dan Walker, and Clerk Margie Vik. Others Present: Ulteig Engineer Brian Hiles, Rod Nordberg, Ken Grob, Jan Rumpza, Dick Rutherford, Donna Petty, Donna Lord, Larry Hoganson, Alan Zemek, Dave Collins, and Anna Erickson from the Enterprise.

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA: A motion was made by Tomte, seconded by Mikesh, and unanimously carried to approve the agenda with the following additions:

Consent:

6.18. Resolution Approving a New On Sale/Sunday Liquor License for Vallartas Mexican Grill LLC in the City of Park Rapids.

General Business:

9.3. Two Resolutions Appointing Firefighters for the City of Park Rapids.

9.4. Resolution Authorizing Execution of a Development Agreement.

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: There were no previous minutes presented.

5. FINANCE:

5.1. Payables & Prepaids: A motion was made by Konshok, seconded by Utke, and unanimously carried to approve the payables in the amount of \$71,703.68, and the prepaids in the amount of \$136,641.13, for a total of \$208,344.81.

6. CONSENT AGENDA: A motion was made by Tomte, seconded by Konshok, and unanimously carried to approve the following consent agenda items:

6.1. Resolution #2012-165 Wage Adjustment and Step Increase for Part Time Rapids Spirits Liquor Store Clerk Fredrick Thompson.

- 6.2. **Approve Plumbers Permit to Work in the City of Park Rapids in 2012 for Kiffmeyer Plumbing, Inc.**
- 6.3. **Resolution #2012-166 Approve Minnesota Lawful Gambling LG220 Application for Exempt Permit for the Park Rapids Wrestling Club, Inc.**
- 6.4. **Approve Payment to Henke Plow to Purchase Cutting Edges for a Cost of \$3,573.17 Plus Shipping Costs.**
- 6.5. **Approve Replacement of Computers, Scanners, and Receipt Printer at Library Using Funds Set Aside in Kitchigami's Repair and Replacement Plan.**
- 6.6. **Approve Payment of \$48,159.99 to Northland Trust Services for the '12a GO Crossover Refunding Bond.**
- 6.7. **Approve Payment of \$285,225.00 to Northland Trust Services for the '09a Crossover Refunding GO Bond.**
- 6.8. **Approve Payment of \$107,800.00 to Northland Trust Services for the '05a GO Crossover Refunding Bond.**
- 6.9. **Approve Payment of \$69,471.25 to Northland Trust Services for the '09b GO Bond.**
- 6.10. **Approve Payment of \$85,731.25 to Northland Trust Services for the '08 GO Bond.**
- 6.11. **Approve Payment of \$101,293.76 to Northland Trust Services for the '10a GO Bond.**
- 6.12. **Approve Payment of \$256,896.88 to Northland Trust Services for the '10b GO Bond.**
- 6.13. **Approve Payment of \$231,227.50 to Northland Trust Services for the '07 GO Bond.**
- 6.14. **Approve Payment of \$46,637.50 to Northland Trust Services for the '09c Sewer Refunding GO Bond.**
- 6.15. **Approve Payment of \$700,578.75 to Northland Trust Services for the '10c Refunding GO Bond.**
- 6.16. **Approve Payment of \$19,648.71 to Ulteig Engineers for Engineering Services on Main Ave Project, Water Treatment Facility, and Red Bridge Lot.**

6.17. Approve Payment of \$835.26 for Architect Fees Regarding the 2011 Terminal Area Improvements.

6.18. Resolution #2012-167 Approving a New On Sale/Sunday Liquor License for Vallartas Mexican Grill LLC in the City of Park Rapids.

END OF CONSENT AGENDA

7. COMMENTS FROM CITIZENS: There were no comments.

8. PLANNING:

8.1. Proclaiming October 2012 as Community Planning Month: Walker stated this proclamation is being presented for informational purposes.

9. GENERAL BUSINESS:

9.1. Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) Presentation by Fishhook Lake & River Association (Ken Grob and Jan Rumpza): Jan Rumpza, with the Fishhook Lake Association, stated we are bringing this to your attention because we have funded some Park Rapids inspections last summer, but we have very limited funds, and we need to expand this activity.

Ken Grob stated all of you can recognize the significance of the spread of an aquatic invasive species in the State of Minnesota, especially zebra mussels. The walleye population in Mille Lacs is at its lowest point in forty years. For the first time, there was equal potential given to zebra mussels as the cause. The older fish are thin. The younger population is low, and all those are symptoms of loss of forage. That's one of the things that zebra mussels do. To our west in Pelican Lake, in Ottertail County, knows how serious this has been since Pelican Lake has zebra mussel infestation of the whole watershed. South to Alexandria, those lakes have zebra mussels. The impact is serious. We're at risk here in Hubbard County. We haven't issued the final report yet this year from our water craft inspections.

Grob stated we did over 7,000 inspections, almost 5,000 hours, and it's amazing the number of zebra mussel infested lakes which were the previous lakes those people came from with their boats. The risk is there. Our water craft inspection program is the key to prevention. COLA, the lake associations, have been doing the heavy lifting, until about this time last year, when the county commissioners signed on to establish a county Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) Task Force, which is why I'm here, to support Fishhook Lake. I've been doing a lot of fundraising with townships and cities this last year. That task force has representation from most of the stakeholders, including the county commissioners, environmental services, soil and water conservation district, fishing guides, lake service providers, and the downtown business community. It takes the whole community because we're all affected. We do a lot of programs, like commercials on the radio which are funded

by COLA. We visited sixty plus resorts with information packets of our water craft inspection program. This past year our program was by far the most aggressive one in the state. We had the equivalent of thirteen full time inspectors. We covered two of our main watersheds, the Fishhook River watershed, and the Mantrap chain. However, Fishhook Lake and the river were pretty minimal in that program.

Grob stated Lake Emma Township contributed \$10,000.00 overall, \$4,000.00 to Potato and Blue Lake. Argo Township contributed also \$4,000.00 plus lake residents to the program, so we had a pretty aggressive watercraft inspection on those lakes, Potato, Eagle, Island, and Blue. Now we're looking at the need to protect the rest of that chain. We talked to Todd Township a week ago and asked them for \$2,000.00 for next year's program.

Grob stated the water craft inspection program that the state runs was basically what they call interns. You do a 50/50 match. We were in that program about three years ago. We had four inspectors. Two years ago it was cut to two, and none last year. They have about one-hundred and twenty around the state. We don't qualify because all of their inspectors are being focused on containment on infested lakes. I agree with that strategy. It's a good strategy to do. At the same time they're asking places like Hubbard County, because we're not infested at this point to shield our lakes. They did have another program called the Local Government Unit (LGU) Watercraft Inspector Program, which has turned out to be very good. It requires that a local government agency be the leader that gets the grant. Because of that, the local government unit can hire as many inspectors as they can afford to. They become part time or seasonal employees, and liability and all those things are covered. That's how we were able to get thirteen inspectors last year. They give sixteen of those grants out around the state. Most of them were single lakes. We took the whole county under our wing. We still have a lot to do.

Grob stated in 2013 we want to expand the program to more lakes, and more inspections. We'd like to do Fishhook Lake and River more aggressively into that program. The Fishhook Lake people have offered some money up, a couple \$1,000.00. We're going to try to do more. For an inspector they need \$4,000.00 more. Hopefully we'll get some from Todd. We're here to ask you for some. It may not be in your budget.

Grob stated we all know Hubbard County is a destination place. It's a beautiful place because of our water quality in the lakes, and the other recreational opportunities that we have. As a result, a lot of the taxable market value in this county, come off of lake properties. As an example, Lake Emma Township's taxable market value of water related property is \$357,011,600.00, making up 87%, contributing also \$2.7 million of the overall county tax base. That's about 10%. In the City of Park Rapids, there's \$42 million in taxable value of water related property making up about 14%, and contributing about \$509,000.00 in taxes. It's small on a percentage basis, 10% of your total taxes. The point is when studies were done in Wisconsin, there was a 13% to 16% decrease in market value of lake property that got Eurasian water milfoil. That was the one that was on our radar screen two years ago. Zebra mussels will be worse. If some of our key lakes get zebra mussels their property values will go down. I don't think the county commissioners will reduce their tax levy, and therefore that will be spread around to everybody in the county. That's the message of why it's important to all of us.

Grob stated zebra mussels are an evasive species that have an economic as well as a recreational impact, and the other enjoyments that we get out of our lakes. We're here to ask you for help at the level of \$2,000.00, which would be given to the Soil and Water

Conservation District. We still have to do our application again this year. We're hoping to get two LGU grants this year. This year we used the grant of \$7,000.00 for overhead and materials, and equipment. The lakes had to pay all of it. We discovered that the size of the program takes a lot more time to manage and hire all of the individuals. We made our pitch to the commissioners to fund a part time position. The message I'm getting is that it probably won't happen. We're hoping to get a second LGU grant to hire someone full time who can supervise and oversee the watercraft inspectors.

Grob stated we're asking you to be part of it. That means one full time watercraft inspector for a little time on Portage Lake, but primarily split between the Fishhook Lake and River public accesses. Both accesses are reasonably well used. Grob proceeded to explain the life cycle of the zebra mussel. They are very prolific. As part of the protection of lakes, state law requires all water service providers to be licensed and certified because they can be a pathway between lakes. We have five lakes in Minnesota that are called super spreaders, Mille Lacs, Gull Lake, Minnetonka, Pelican, and the Le Homme Dieu Chain, that 100,000 boats go in and out of those lakes in a year. In Hubbard County, everything flows out, nothing comes in. Leach Lake and the Mississippi to the north, and the Crow Wing River chain to the south. If Eagle Lake, Big Mantrap, or Eleventh Crow Wing got zebra mussels we would infest every significant lake in this county because they are all part of a watershed. We're asking you for help. We're asking the townships. Lake Emma has committed \$14,000.00 to this next year.

Tomte questioned is this an ongoing request? Every year you'll want \$2,000.00? Grob stated its one year now. More than likely we'll be back depending on the breath of our program. There's two things out there to anticipate. The legislature did set up an aquatic invasive species center at the University of Minnesota. Hopefully, research will find a genetic way of killing them. The other thing is governmental agencies say why should we do this. The DNR should. I've been around the aquatic invasive species group at the state level for a couple of years now. There're two things that I've learned. One is they only do what the legislature lets them do, and they only do what they can afford, and the legislature decides. Three years ago there was \$4 million statewide. Two years ago it was about \$8 million, because they pulled from a bunch of little funds to be able to support it. That money runs out at the end of 2013. Until the citizenry of this state demands from the legislature to start funding at a higher level, for the DNR to download that money to local government units. That's where the need is. Until that happens, we have to do it. Last year lake associations contributed 65% to 70% of the costs. There's a few people on a few lakes, and some townships, that are carrying a heavy load. We're trying to get more townships, cities, and the county to do more.

Carroll questioned have you gotten a commitment from the county? Grob stated through SWCD and their budget, they wanted to hire a position, 2/3rd for aquatic invasive species, and 1/3rd for some other projects that they have funding for. The feedback I'm getting is at this point they don't think they want to fund it. The argument is they think it should be the DNR's responsibility. I don't disagree with that, but by the time we wait for that to happen, we will already be infested. For the short term foreseeable future, I'm going to have to keep doing this, and do the best protection we can.

Grob stated there's no doubt that Hubbard County is recognized as being a leader in aquatic invasive species protection. We've been at it for seven years. All the billboards, radio ads, watercraft inspections, and the educational materials, are funded by COLA, lake associates, or grants. In Becker County they talk about wanting to gate everything. In one

lake they shut down seven boat ramps. I am not a proponent of shutting down any launch sites. The only place I'd ever suggest it is on a lake with two boat launches close to each other. If it costs \$7,000.00 a year to cover each of those, you could easily do one. We only have a couple examples like that. Not only preventing by inspecting boats for people who haven't drained them, the educational piece of those watercraft inspections has been just as valuable than the actual inspection process. Everyone in our task force is trying to get the message out.

Grob stated I've given you a sample resolution. I drafted this for townships. I don't know what the laws are for cities regarding donations. This letter meets Minnesota Statute 365.10 for donations. It says it's legal, it's in the public's best interests. This is what's expected, and you'd get a report. Carroll stated there's probably something similar for cities, but we don't make donations. We have to pay a fee for a service. We can work out a proper resolution. Grob stated any amount of commitment wouldn't be due until May of 2013, depending on us trying to get local government unit (LGU) grants. We're trying to get that all lined up so when we submit our grants, we'll have firm commitments from others. Carroll stated we have already submitted our preliminary budget back in September. We can lower it but we can't raise it. Our challenge would be if the Council wants to move forward on this, we need to tweak that preliminary budget.

Utke stated I thank you for what you do but I agree with the county commissioners, except that maybe it isn't all DNR. I don't think it should fall on the cities, counties, lake associations. In 2008, Minnesota passed a 3/8th of one percent sales tax to clean up water, lakes, and rivers. They have millions of dollars in their pot looking for ways to hand out for all sorts of different reasons. That's exactly where this should be coming from. Grob stated you get ahold of your legislator, because not one penny of legacy money is going into this. Utke stated the legislature doesn't get to vote on where the money goes. They just approve it. Grob stated when they come in with their funding and say where they're going to spend it, the legislature does approve that. Their policy at this point is not to give money to prevention. Utke stated they're not proactive, they're reactive. No matter what they do they go about it in the wrong way. Grob stated that is a big issue and there's lots of people pressing very hard to say time out. A lot of people voted for that legacy amendment to get money for AIS. At this point in time that's not happening. If we wait, it will be too late.

Utke stated the state has that pool of money and we need to tap it. Grob stated there is a lot of lobbying going on. There will be a lot of people at the open forum, that's going to happen tomorrow, pressing on what are you going to do to break loose some legacy funding and downloading to local government units. We're still going to have to do the work. If you wait two or three years for that to happen it could well be too late. Once you have zebra mussels, there's nothing you can do. I don't disagree with you. Utke stated we're talking a few thousand, when this whole thing needs lots of money and they've got it. I'll do what I can to help work on that. Grob stated we're trying to work through the association of counties. You have the city organization. The more those organizations lobby legislators to fund the aquatic invasive species prevention, until it gets to a point that enough of them decide they're going to do it, we're stuck. I spend a lot of hours on this because I care about it.

Utke questioned did you go in front of the county commissioners or just through the soil and water. Grob stated I went with the SWCD Board when they prepared their budget and they presented it and asked for what they wanted. They could go a long way to encourage more lake associations to spend time and money on this. I'm not done lobbying

with them. Utke stated even if they would help join in as far as the commission group to help put pressure on the state. Grob stated they have. At their annual meeting, that message was carried strongly. It's on their radar. That doesn't necessarily mean they're going to get something done.

Grob stated I wish you would consider it and let us know. Carroll stated we have to make decisions on our final budget by December. We could ask the Finance Committee to see if we can find \$2,000.00. Grob stated I realize there is a budget cycle. Todd Township suggested we come and talk to the city. Rumpza stated we have to hit Fishhook River too. Grob stated we have the advantage that we're not infested at this point. There are peak times to launch boats and that's what we try to cover.

Carroll questioned is this something that we'd be interested in funding. This would be for next spring. For budget purposes, we would need to approve it by December. Utke stated it's a good cause, but we haven't done donations. The money we collect isn't donated back out that way. Tomte stated we'd have to change the terminology. Utke stated I don't follow the idea of a donation. Tomte stated we would have to allocate funding for a specific purpose. The water is extremely important here. That is what everyone is here for, the quality of life that we have with all of our resources. We need to pay attention to that and help make it happen somehow. If we aren't committing dollars, we'll have to help inspect boats. We need to figure out how we can support that because that's keenly important to all of us. Carroll stated Grob has made the point of the immediacy of it. We all need to contact our legislators, but is that going to be quick enough. If we can help with one of the LGU grants, I would support it.

Konshok stated I expect that the state will have to step up, but I agree that it's dangerous to wait on that. I view this as a risk management issue and I consider this a form of insurance that we're taking out a policy and trying to protect a significant portion of our tax base. I'm generally in support of it, but we have to refer it to staff to find out if there's actually a method of doing this. We are different from townships. They are allowed to make donations by state statute, cities are not. Is it a transfer, a service payment, is it a contract? Tomte stated we have to do it properly.

Mikesh stated I think it's interesting that they're here looking for this after the questions that were brought up last week. My comment is that it shouldn't fall on the city. I understand what you're doing. I think we need to refer this back to staff to try to find the \$2,000.00, or even a lesser amount. Konshok questioned does this necessarily need to be in the formal 2013 budget, or is it a discretionary amount out of the liquor store fund? We have to be clear. I don't view it as a permanent authorization to the budget. This is just a stop gap. McKinney stated perhaps if you give staff authority to get \$2,000.00 to that program and we can come back with the options. It would be nice if we didn't have to rework the budget. Grob stated the grant applications are due in early January. I think your heart's in the right spot, so if we could know by the end of the year.

9.2. Request to Waive Mandatory Water/Sewer Connection for Donna Petty and Donna Lord- tabled September 11, September 25, 2012, referred to staff for possible ordinance amendment on October 9, 2012:

McKinney stated Council directed staff to see if there is some additional clarification to be made by amending the ordinance should the Council wish to grant extensions of time to hook up to sewer and water. I'm suggesting that instead of amending the ordinance, which may or may not have any application again. The deadline for hooking up is not until November 1st. We're already

talking about doing things about a list of people that we don't know who they are yet. Another factor is even if you directed us to hook them up, we couldn't get it done before spring. I'm suggesting that you direct us to compile a list of those who did not comply with the previous order for this project, and we will get that back to you after the first of November. We already know who we sent the letters to. We have to verify whether or not they complied. The ordinance says upon non-compliance the Council "may" do something. I tried to list in the memo the kinds of conditions that may arise if they were all satisfied to some kind of extraordinary treatment. Under this proposal, we would wait until November 1st, we would prepare a list for the Council of where we stand, and you can direct us on how you want to deal with that. One of the directions could be to allow for another year. I have received a letter from a couple of property owners that said they intend to do it but they can't get the contractor to do it. Instead of doing it by an ordinance amendment, we go through this process which is a simpler procedure.

Carroll stated that's provided all the stipulations are met. McKinney stated first we'll do the inventory of who has or has not complied after the November 1st date, and then we will come back to you with that report. We can prepare some language that will allow you to take action with regard to people that meet this standard. The gist of it is that people that meet all these criteria. Some of the Council have indicated some support for granting extensions. We don't know how many or how long the extension would be. Everybody is going to get an extension until spring.

Carroll stated this provides us with a good process and a timeline. Konshok stated since I made the motion for a formal amendment to the utility ordinance, I'm fine with this. My primary concern is that we make a decision and we're clear on this is our policy. If we don't want to go through the process to amend the ordinance at this point that's fine as long as we have it down in writing what we're doing and what we're allowing so there's no confusion. I see potential for confusion as to who has to hook up and who doesn't. I think it meets the intent, which is if we have people that are clearly in an area that we as the city have zoned as commercial, I think we need to honor that within our utility connection ordinance policy. If we need to amend the ordinance later, we can always do that. We can start with this.

Carroll stated this is similar to other ways we have made exceptions, not written in the policy, if a sewer/water bill is huge, and we learn that the water didn't go down the sewer, we forgive the sewer portion of that bill. I don't think we have that in writing. It's something that has come to the Council time and again. That's been our way of handling it. Maybe this is similar to that. Utke stated there's no way to have every last word for every policy written down. You have guidelines and from there you have to make decisions.

Konshok stated I think it makes it clear that we're not considering financial hardship as the reason for exemption from the policy. We want to make that absolutely clear. We've had mixed messages on that. That's not a criteria or a factor on this policy change.

McKinney stated if we have consensus on this approach, we'll be back with a report after November 1st.

Carroll stated do we have to make a response to the request for waiving those water/sewer connections? McKinney stated what initiated this originally was their request which has been tabled. We're not un-tabling it. We're just saying how we're going to deal with it. We were asked to come up with a way to solve the problem for everyone that is similarly situated. Leave it on the table.

9.3. Two Resolutions Appointing Firefighters for the City of Park

Rapids: McKinney stated the Council tabled these two resolutions to appoint to fill the two vacancies with instructions to staff to review the process following the legal requirements for hiring in the fire department. We got some legal advice from our consul on our policy statements. The chief and I have met. They followed exactly the same process that's been followed in the past. They are compliant with the policy. We have recognized that we need to take a look at the policies that are out there. We will be continuing to finalize that draft, and we'll bring it back to you. It will be in the form of a handbook for firefighters, with all kinds of items other than hiring. It will be a supplement to our existing policy. I recommend that you proceed. You're not inhibited from hiring because there was any defect in the policy or the law with regard to the process. We did not look at these particular applications.

Carroll stated the process was followed. It was legal so we can go ahead and hire.

A motion was made by Konshok, seconded by Mikesh, and unanimously carried to approve Resolution #2012-168 Appointing Jonathan Lanz to the Position of Volunteer Firefighter for the City of Park Rapids.

A motion was made by Konshok, seconded by Tomte, and unanimously carried to approve Resolution #2012-169 Appointing Krystal Krautkremer to the Position of Volunteer Firefighter for the City of Park Rapids.

9.4. Resolution Authorizing Execution of a Development Agreement:

McKinney stated the city's team of negotiators made a presentation on behalf of their efforts to put together this developer's agreement. We held a public hearing where the Council had the opportunity for discussions. The only difficulty was there was some last minute drafting of the actual contract agreement, which they did not have time to look at. We adopted the resolution to proceed and everything but authorizing the execution of the agreement. I'm in possession of that agreement that has been signed by a representative of that developer. It's now appropriate to authorize the city's execution of the agreement. **A motion was made by Mikesh, seconded by Utke, and unanimously carried to approve Resolution #2012-170 Authorizing Execution of a Developer's Agreement.**

10. CITY ADMINISTRATOR UPDATE: McKinney stated we should acknowledge the work that Dave Collins and Tracy Ryan did in getting this last development together. There might be some items that we'll want to come back to the Council with in the future.

11. DEPARTMENT HEAD UPDATES: Walker stated we had the open house last week for the DNR project. There was good attendance. There was a good report on the planning process to get to this point. Brian Hiles gave a report on the city's perspective, and the DNR did a good job of explaining their process, findings, timing, and designing. There's still a few items left to hash out before we can come to the Council for a final go ahead for the DNR so they can submit the plan to the Corp of Engineers. We may have the possibility of construction next summer. We're still working on securing easements for our portion of the project. Then we'll have to bring that to the Council for a decision on some of the elements.

12. MINUTES/REPORTS/INFORMATION: There were no comments.

13. COMMENTS FROM COUNCIL: Mikesh stated the fire department will be asking for another member. We've lost another one to the oil fields. Our training starts in November so we'd like to get another one on so they can go through the training this fall to get them certified. Konshok questioned do you want hiring authority right now? Mikesh stated we'd like to pull out of this last round of applicants because there were a lot that were qualified. Tomte stated our next meeting is in three weeks. McKinney stated your first order of business is to authorize us to start the process.

A motion was made by Mikesh, seconded by Konshok, and unanimously carried to authorize staff to hire an additional firefighter.

Carroll stated I'm planning on attending the Coalition of Greater Minnesota Cities Fall Conference, November 14th to the 16th, to be held in Alexandria. I encourage any other Councilmember to attend as well. We'll be reviewing the results of the election and talking about planning for the next legislative session.

Tomte stated I received an email for the Local Government Innovation Awards. There's an opportunity for recognition for innovative work in local government. There is a potential to get a \$25,000.00 grant if chosen. Carroll stated staff might have a project to propose. Tomte stated it's looking at ways that we're being innovative already for something that we're currently doing. The deadline is next Friday. It's looking at something that is already in process as opposed to creating something. McKinney stated if we come up with something, we'll put together a response.

Konshok complimented Walker for his work on the Red Bridge Project. There's been a lot of behind the scenes work and now it's come to the forefront. It's an exciting, dramatic, and emotional opportunity. We'll be saying goodbye to one and possible two old bridges to be replaced with new bridge. It's vitally important to reroute the Heartland Trail and extending it out to the west, potentially as far as Moorhead. That has a huge positive, potential impact for the city. If we can get this done, it will have a major impact on our downtown for decades to come. The DNR has been very accommodating, and we'll continue the process.

14. ADJOURNMENT: A motion was made by Utke, seconded by Carroll, and unanimously carried to adjourn the meeting at 8:15 p.m.

[seal]

Mayor Nancy J. Carroll

ATTEST:

Margie M. Vik
City Clerk